



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virghia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,636	05/28/2002		Simon Peter Clark	P67665US0	1918
136	7590	03/12/2004		EXAMINER	
JACOBSO 400 SEVEN		-	FARAH, AHMED M		
SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	ron, dc	20004	3739		

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)	· /				
		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/049,636		CLARK, SIMON PETER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	l				
7. 444,000,0475	Ahmed M Farah	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover si	leet with the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiate of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimulatory period will apply and will expire SIX ill, by statute, cause the application to be	m of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	lon .						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	b) This action is non-final.						
, 							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-27</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) <u>16-27</u> are subject to restricting	e withdrawn from consideration						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		ted to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11). The oath or declaration is objected to	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have been receive locuments have been receive f the priority documents have al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National)).	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9. 	PTO/SB/08) 5) No	per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PToher:	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-25, drawn to system for electronically identifying a consumable component used with a medical device, classified in class 250, subclass 559.44.
- II. Claim 26, drawn to a chemical analyzer, classified in class 436, subclass 43+.
- III. Claim 27, drawn to a medical laser system, classified in class 606, subclass 10.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the identification system of invention I would function properly without the specifics of the chemical analyzer. It could be used to identify a consumable component of an optical and/or mechanical device. The subcombination has separate utility such as analyzing chemical agents.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,636

Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the identification system would function properly without the specifics of the surgical laser system. This identification system could be used to identify a consumable element of a mechanical and/or chemical device. The subcombination has separate utility such as generating optical energy to provide treatment to various medical conditions such as skin condition, correcting visual defects, coronary surgery, etc.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III; and the Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A (claim 20); B (claims 21 and 22); C (claims 23 and 24); and D (claim 25).

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to the Applicant's representative, John C. Holman (Reg. No. 22,769), on March 4, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,636 Page 5

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahmed M Farah whose telephone number is (703) 305-5787. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M DVorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Farah,

03/06/2004